

Orchestration Software in the Mega Data Center: Controls Operations, Implements Microservices

Table of Contents

Orchestration Software in the Mega Data Center: Executive Summary

Business growth depends on technology spending. Intelligent, automated process, not manual labor systems are what speed business growth. We have had the situation in the data center where 93% of spending is just to keep current systems running, many of those plagued with manual input. Mega data centers change that pattern of IT manual process by leveraging orchestration software.

The Enterprise Data Center has become a bottleneck, it needs to be completely replaced. Category 5 and Category 6 Ethernet cable is spread throughout the existing enterprise data centers and is too slow to handle all the digital data coming through the data center. Cat 5 and Cat 6 Ethernet utilized by the servers to achieve data transport using that cable does not keep up with the data coming through the data center the way optical cable and optical transceivers do. The existing servers and cable are a problem because they are too slow for modern systems. The cable is too slow to handle all the data coming at us in the new digital age, and the associated technology that operates at Ethernet category 5 and category 6 cable speeds is too slow as well, this is why the entire set of existing enterprise data centers is a bottleneck.

Sea Change Series: Orchestration Software in the Mega Data Center

Sea Change Series: Orchestration Software in the Mega Data Center, Amazon, Google, Microsoft, Facebook	2
Aim to Realign IT Cost Structure	3
Internet Has Grown by a Factor of 100 Over The Past 10 Years	4
Table of Contents	5
Orchestration Software Automates Data Center Infrastructure	14
Software Containers	15

**Orchestration Software in the Mega Data Center Table of Contents
and List of Figures**

Orchestration Schedulers Manage Containers	16
Orchestration Software Supports Container Automation	17
Realigning Data Center Cost Structures	18
IT Relies On Replacing Virtual Machine: VM Virtualization	19
Microservices	20
Microservices Features	21
Microservices Modules	23
Difficulties with Virtual Machines	24
Hypervisor a Difficulty	25
Virtual Machines Use Bare Metal, Containers Use Orchestration Software	27
Bare Metal an Inefficient Use of Compute Resource	28
Bare Metal Less Efficient	28
Industry Uses Robots Because Manual Labor Is Slow And Error Prone	29
IT processes Replace Manual Labor	30
Mega Data Center Orchestration Software	31
Large Fabric Network Not The Kind Of Environment That Can Be Realistically Configured And Operated In A Manual Way	32
To Automate the Data Center Fabric	33
Value of Data Center Fabric	33
Google Shift from Bare Metal To Container Controllers	34
Google Container Controller Shift From Bare Metal In A Mixed Workload And In Nested Compute Units	35
Google Kubernetes Groups Software Containers	36
Fabric Services Inside A Container	37
Architecting Microsoft Cloud	38

Microsoft Managed Clustering and Container Management: Docker and Mesos	39
Microsoft Azure Service Fabric	41
Microsoft Is Seen As The Overall Winner In The Move To Application Containers	42
Microsoft Dublin Cloud 2.0 Mega Data Center	43
Microsoft Data Center, Dublin, 550,000 Square Feet	43
Microsoft Dublin Center Operates at a Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) of 1.25.	44
Microsoft Data Center Container Area in Chicago.	44
Microsoft	45
Advantages of Using Containers	46
Orchestration Software Used to Create Containers	47
Disadvantages of Using Containers	48
Advantages of Virtual Machines	49
Container Orchestration	50
Use of Containers Eliminate Manual Process	51
IT Pros Increasingly Turn to Chef and Puppet	52
Hardware Containers Do Not Scale	53
Facebook Data Center Positioning	54
IBM Data Center Orchestration Software Automates Application Integration	55
Docker Orchestration & Docker Swarm	56
Docker Container Platform	57
Common Feature Sets For Orchestration Tools	58
Not all Orchestrators Are Created Equal	59

**Orchestration Software in the Mega Data Center Table of Contents
and List of Figures**



AWS Cloud Container Adoption Criteria	60
AWS Cloud Adoption Methodology	63
AWS Cloud Adoption Framework	64
AWS Market Leader In Cloud Computing	65
Facebook Fabric and Node are Core Structures Leveraging Software	
Orchestration	66
Apache Mesos Orchestration Software	67
Google Kubernetes Container	68
Google Container Builder Step Toward Building Pluggable Components in a Pipeline	69
Google Programmable Access To Network Stack	70
Google Andromeda Software Defined Networking (SDN)-	71
Google Compute Engine Load Balancing	72
Google Compute Engine Load Balanced Requests Architecture	73
Google Scaling Of The Compute Engine Load Balancing	74
Google Compute Engine (GCE) TCP Stream Performance Improvements	75
Google Cloud Platform TCP Andromeda Throughput Advantages	76
Google Open Sourced Its Container Management System Called Kubernetes	77
Facebook	79
Ability To Move Fast And Support Rapid Growth At The Core Of Facebook	
Infrastructure Design Philosophy	80
The Right Type of Cloud: Mega Data Centers	82
AWS Has Been Able To Adapt To Change	83
Manual Labor Is Slow And Error Prone	84
Mega Data Center Orchestration Software	86

**Orchestration Software in the Mega Data Center Table of Contents
and List of Figures**

Amazon, Google, Microsoft, Facebook	87
Cloud 2.0 Mega Data Center Fabric Implementation	87
Fabric and Node are Core Structures Leveraging Software Orchestration	89
Multi-Threading, Dynamic Systems	91
Oracle Multi-Threading Mega Data Center	92
Orchestration Tools Manage A Cluster As A Single Deployment	93
Microservice Monitoring with Google Kubernetes	94
Docker Container	94
Cluster Functions and Pod Benefits	95
Mesosphere DC/OS an Open-Source Project Built on Apache Mesos	96
Mesosphere Enterprise DC/OS Orchestration Software	96
Mesosphere DC/OS Production Containers Uses	97
Mesosphere DC/OS Orchestration Software	97
Mesosphere DC/OS Extending Capabilities Within Container Orchestration	98
Mesosphere DC/OS Certification Compliance	98
Mesosphere Market Leadership Position	99
Mesosphere DC/OS Runs Data Services on One Single Platform	99
Cloud Computing Not Enough: Entire Warehouse Building As A Single Mega Data Center System	100
Red Hat Ansible	101
Red Hat Ansible Architecture, Agents, And Security	102
Red Hat Ansible Advanced Features	102
Red Hat / Ansible	103
Red Hat Ansible Tower 3 Job Run Metrics	104
Cisco Integrated Infrastructure Management	105

Cisco UCS Helps Manage Administrative Costs And Reign In Complexity	106
Mesosphere DC/OS: Mesos Features	108
Heart of DC/OS: Apache Mesos	109
DC/OS Implements Containers	110
WinterGreen Research,	111
WinterGreen Research Methodology	112

List of Figures

Mega Data Center Depends on Orchestration Software to Control Operations, Implement Microservices	
Figure 1. Slow Growth Mode of Companies with Enterprise Data Centers	3
Figure 2. Mega Data Center Fabric Implementation	4
Figure 3. Business Innovation and Technology	14
Figure 4. Docker Orchestration Software Creates Containers	15
Figure 5. Docker Compose	16
Figure 6. Mesosphere Marathon	16
Figure 7. Google Kubernetes	16
Figure 8. Orchestration Software Supports Container Automation	17
Figure 9. Orchestration Software Decreases Data Center Cost Structure	18
Figure 10. Files Bundled into a Container	19
Figure 11. Microservices: Suite Of Independently Deployable Service Modules with a Unique Process And Well-Defined, Lightweight Communication Portal: Mechanism To Serve A Business Goal	20
Figure 12. Microservices Distinct Features: Taxi Hailing Example	21
Figure 13. Microservices Market Segments	22
Figure 14. Microservices Modules	23
Figure 15. Hypervisor Virtualization Operating System Interface	24

Figure 16. Hypervisor Virtualization Operating System Interface	25
Figure 17. Virtual Machines Less Efficient Than Containers	26
Figure 18. Difference Between Virtual Machines and Containers	26
Figure 19. Bare Metal Management Replaced by Container Controllers	27
Figure 20. Containers vs. VMs	28
Figure 21. Industrial Robots Eliminate Manual Labor	29
Figure 22. Industry Uses Robots To Replace Manual Labor	29
Figure 23. Data Centers Need The Precision and Automation Similar to that Provided by Multi-Step Sequential Task Industrial Robots	30
Figure 24. Mega Data Center Orchestration Software	31
Figure 25. Single-Fabric Data Center Network Architecture	32
Figure 26. Bare Metal Presents a Lot of Extra Parameters and Metrics, Significantly More than With Containers	34
Figure 27. Nested Compute Units	35
Figure 28. Kubernetes Orchestration Software Groups Containers That Make Up An Application Into Logical Units	36
Figure 29. Kubernetes Orchestration Software Functions	36
Figure 30. Container Features as it integrates with the Service Fabric Runtime	37
Figure 31. Microsoft Setting Up A Secure Service Fabric Cluster in Azure using the Azure Portal.	40
Figure 32. Microsoft Data Center, Dublin, 550,000 Sf	43
Figure 33. Container Area In The Microsoft Data Center In Chicago	44
Figure 34. Microsoft Cloud Network Features	45
Figure 35. Like Physical Containers on a Ship, Software Containers Bring Many Servers Densely Packed	46
Figure 36. Advantages of Using Containers	47
Figure 37. Software Orchestration Container Challenges	48
Figure 38. Manual Process	51
Figure 39. Containers Need Orchestration Software	51

Figure 40. Virtual Machine Data Center Management Tasks:	52
Figure 41. FaceBook Open Compute Project	53
Figure 42. Facebook Data Center Modernization Functions	54
Figure 43. Facebook Altoona Iowa Cloud 2.0 Mega Data Center	54
Figure 44. Manual Process for Application Integration Deployment	55
Figure 45. Feature Sets For Orchestration Tools	58
Figure 46. Issues for Orchestration Software	59
Figure 47. AWS Cloud Container Adoption Criteria	60
Figure 48. AWS Cloud Container	61
Figure 49. AWS Cloud Adoption Framework	62
Figure 50. AWS Market Leader In Cloud Computing	65
Figure 51. Description of the Orchestration Software	67
Figure 52. Advantages of Using the Container Builder Cloud Architecture as a Service:	69
Figure 53. Google Andromeda Cloud High-Level Architecture	70
Figure 54. Google Andromeda Software Defined Networking (SDN)-Based Substrate Functions	71
Figure 55. Google Andromeda Performance Factors Of The Underlying Network	72
Figure 56. Google Compute Engine Load Balanced Requests Architecture	73
Figure 57. Google Compute Engine Load Balancing	74
Figure 58. Google Cloud Platform TCP Andromeda Throughput Advantages	76
Figure 59. IoT: Open Source IoT High Level Platform, OpenStack and Kubernetes	78
Figure 60. Facebook DuPont Fabros Technology Ashburn, VA Data Center	81
Figure 61. Cloud 2.0 Mega Data Centers Support 1.5 Billion Facebook Users Worldwide.	82
Figure 62. AWS Market Leader In Cloud Computing	83
Figure 63. Data Centers Need The Precision and Automation Provided by Multi-Step Sequential Task Industrial Robots	85

Figure 64. Mega Data Center Orchestration Software Functions	86
Figure 65. Multiple Pathways Open To Processing Nodes In The Cloud 2.0 Mega Data Center Functions	90
Figure 66. Dynamic Load Balancing	91
Figure 67. Mesosphere Customer References	96
Figure 68. Mesosphere DC/OS Certification Compliance	98
Figure 69. Cloud Is Not Enough	100
Figure 70. Red hat Ansible Playbook Language Advanced Features	103
Figure 71. Red Hat Ansible Tower 3 Job Run Metrics	104
Cisco UCS Helps Manage Administrative Costs And Reign In Complexity	106
Figure 72. Cisco UCS Helps Manage Administrative Costs And Reign In Complexity	106
Figure 73. Cisco UCS Director Delivers Comprehensive Infrastructure Management and Orchestration	107
Figure 74. Mesosphere DC/OS: Mesos Features:	108
Figure 75. Native Mesos Containerizer Functions	110